

January 4, 1990

LB 881-957, 997-1010
LR 229

If I may, Mr. President, I have a Reference Report referring LBs 881-957, and LR 229. (See pages 175-77 of the Legislative Journal.) And, Mr. President, new bills. (Read LBs 997-1010 by title for the first time. See pages 177-80 of the Legislative Journal.) Mr. President, that's all that I have at this time.

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Proceeding to the next item on...from the Rules Committee. Chairman Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. President, members, the next one is number nine identified on your list. It specifies that a motion to suspend the rules is not divisible. The reason for this, without reading it all but putting it hopefully in laymen's terms so we can understand it, is that when a motion to suspend the rules is attempted it's intended to accomplish only one thing. You don't suspend the rules to accomplish three, four, five or six different things. But, if the amendment that would accomplish one thing would, for example, suspend Rule 1, Section 2, Rule 2, Section 3, Rule 3, Section 4, because it's necessary to do that to identify those sections of the rules that serve that single purpose, you cannot divide the question and take any one of those three rule changes independently. I think, Mr. President and members, that explains the purpose and intent of this rule change and would suggest that we support it.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Discussion on the proposal...proposed change number nine? Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, let me tell you what the real purpose of this rule change is. There have been attempts at various times to suspend the rules so that there can be no debate or discussion or amendment on bills, and I have indicated that I would divide that question. So the purpose of the rule is to prevent that from happening. So however many things are put into a rule suspension will have to be taken as a package. In some instances you may have a situation where people will think and believe that you should be able to suspend the rules for the purpose of taking a vote without any additional debate, amendment and so forth. And maybe that is all right. Naturally, I'm opposed to it because

January 8, 1990

LB 409, 958-1013, 1031, 1032
LR 235

SPEAKER BARRETT: Let's stand at ease until eleven-fifteen, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Okay, thank you.

EASE

CLERK: Three quick announcements. Reference will meet underneath the south balcony now. Referencing Committee, underneath the south balcony right now.

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: (Microphone not activated immediately.) ...return to the Legislative Chamber. The Legislature will reconvene and continue our discussion on the adoption of our permanent rules. Please return to the Legislative Chamber. Mr. Clerk, would you read in new bills, please.

CLERK: (Read LB 1031 and LB 1032 by title for the first time. See pages 198-99 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, in addition to those items, I have a new resolution. (Read brief summary of LR 235. See page 199 of the Journal.) That will be laid over.

I have amendments from Speaker Barrett to be printed to LE 409. Mr. President, I also have a Reference Report referring LBs 958-1013, as well as certain gubernatorial appointments received. That's all that I have, Mr. President. (See pages 199-201 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Have you a motion, Mr. Clerk, to reconsider action taken last week?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to reconsider the vote on the Wesely amendment to the rules, which I believe the Legislature discussed on Thursday afternoon.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, this motion is designed to reconsider the vote that was taken on

January 9, 1990

LB 187, 514, 742, 851, 856, 908, 957
964, 966, 968, 1004, 1005, 1078, 1079
LR 8

Senator Robak, Moore, Schmit, Chizek, Elmer, Withem, Korshoj, Smith and Ashford and Labedz. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 19 of last year, at that time it was referred to the Transportation Committee for public hearing. The bill was advanced to General File. I have Transportation Committee amendments pending, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lamb, are you going to take those?

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members, LB 742, a bill introduced by Senator Robak, and it has to do with changing the eyesight requirements for drivers licenses. The committee amendments are minor. There are two of them. On page 2, line 19, strike "or" and insert "and"; and then on page 3, line 7, strike "the applicant"...the words "the applicant has". These are merely...

PRESIDENT: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: ...drafting errors that were...

PRESIDENT: (Gavel.) Senator Lamb, could I interrupt you?

SENATOR LAMB: Yes.

PRESIDENT: I hate to interrupt you in the line of thought, but I've just been notified there is a bomb threat and you're all supposed to evacuate the building. Okay.

SENATOR LAMB: What if we don't?

PRESIDENT: Mr. Speaker, Speaker Barrett. Would you like to read some things into the record while we're waiting for the bomb to go off?

CLERK: Mr. President, very quickly, I have a designation of priority bill by Senator Schimek for LB 514. I have notice of hearing for the Urban Affairs Committee. And notice of hearing from the Agriculture Committee. (Re: LB 851, LB 856, LB 908, LB 957, LB 964, LB 966, LB 968, LB 1004, and LB 1005.)

New bills. (Read LB 1078 and LB 1079 by title for the first time. See page 244 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, I have in addition to that amendments to printed by Senator Lynch to LB 187, and Senator Lindsay to LR 8CA;

January 10, 1990

LB 1004
LR 231

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hefner.

SENATOR HEFNER: Question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: That won't be necessary, Senator Hefner, thank you. We have no other lights on. Senator Chambers, would you like to close? Thank you. Closing has been waived and the question before the body is the adoption of the Chambers motion to reconsider the vote taken on LR 231. Those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Voting on the reconsideration motion, have you all voted? Have you all voted if you care to vote? Please record.

CLERK: 15 ayes, 14 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to reconsider.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The motion to reconsider fails. Anything for the record, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Nothing at this time, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Mr. Clerk, may we proceed to a motion that I believe you have on your desk at this time?

CLERK: Mr. President, I have, first of all, a hearing notice as offered by Senator Rod Johnson as Chair of the Agriculture Committee. Pursuant to the terms of that hearing notice, I also have a motion from Senator Johnson, Mr. President, that would suspend Rule 3, Section 13, so as to permit cancellation of a hearing scheduled, I believe, for next Tuesday. (Re: LB 1004. See page 257 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Johnson.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Speaker Barrett, members of the Legislature, we had scheduled a hearing on pseudorabies that Senator Carson Rogers had introduced. We had checked with his office yesterday to see if it would be appropriate to have a hearing next Tuesday when the Agriculture Committee meets. Unfortunately, we were given the signal of going ahead with that bill but this morning we were notified by Senator Rogers and the Department of Agriculture that there was some conflict between the hearing date next Tuesday and he had requested that I please change the agenda for next Tuesday. And so I have requested the Chair to allow me to suspend the rules and delete that

January 10, 1990 LB 818, 819, 1004

particular bill from our hearing schedule for next Tuesday.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, Senator Johnson. Is there discussion? Seeing none, those in favor of the motion offered by Senator Johnson to suspend the rules to allow cancellation of a hearing, please vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to suspend the rules and cancel the hearing.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The suspension motion is adopted. Mr. Clerk, may we proceed to General File, revisor bills.

CLERK: Mr. President, the first revisor bill is LB 818 and was offered by Senator Labedz as Chair of the Executive Board. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 3, referred directly to General File.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair recognizes the Vice-chairman of the Executive Board, Senator Peterson.

SENATOR R. PETERSON: Mr. Speaker and members, LB 818, this bill would repeal a section relating to the Board of Examiners in Osteopathy which is obsolete. I ask for you to move this bill onto Select.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Any discussion? If not, those in favor of the advancement of LB 818 to E & R Initial please vote aye, opposed nay. Please record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of 818.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 818 is advanced. LB 819.

CLERK: LB 819, Mr. President, offered by Senator Labedz as Chair of the Board. (Read title.) Introduced on January 3 of this year, referred directly to General File, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair again recognizes Senator Peterson.

SENATOR R. PETERSON: Mr. Speaker and members, LB 819, simply this bill would repeal two sections relating to school retirement that are now obsolete. I ask for advancement of the bill.

January 30, 1990

LB 545A, 720, 956, 1004, 1075, 1077

advanced to General File, LB 1077 General File, LB 1075 General File with amendments. Senator Conway has amendments to LB 956; Senator Baack to LB 545A. Agriculture gives notice of cancellation of hearing; Agriculture reports LB 1004 with committee amendments attached. (See pages 567-71 of the Legislative Journal.) Mr. President, that is all that I have at this time.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, sir. Proceeding then to the next bill on Select File, LB 720.

CLERK: Mr. President, the first order of business on 720 are Enrollment and Review amendments.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move the adoption of the E & R amendments to LB 720.

SPEAKER BARRETT: You have heard the motion to adopt the E & R amendments. Those in favor say aye. Opposed no. Carried, they are adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Wesely would move to amend the bill. The amendment is on page 542 of the Journal.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair recognizes Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you. Mr. Speaker, members, if you recall, LB 720 dealt with caseworkers to investigate child abuse and also to keep caseworkers to work with our foster care children. If you recall on General File, Senator Warner amended the bill deleting the direct tie to national standards that we had in the original bill for fear of having us tied directly to national standards, and so what we talked about at that time was coming back with some references for the Director of Social Services to develop caseload standards and have some guidance from this Legislature. You can't just completely delegate that authority. You need to give them some legislative guidance. So this amendment would provide that reference for the Director of Social Services in establishing the caseload work for these social caseworkers. The work that we did, Senator Kristensen, and I think Senator Morrissey, Senator Bernard-Stevens I think also raised questions about how you determine where these workers went to and we did put in some standards in here dealing

February 1, 1990

LB 37, 81, 240A, 409, 422, 465, 543
678, 678A, 863, 953, 1004, 1124

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the George W. Norris Legislative Chamber on this the 20th day of the Second Session of the Ninety-First Legislature. Our Chaplain this morning, Dr. John Wagner, President of Union College. Mr. Wagner.

DR. WAGNER: (Prayer offered.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, so much, Mr. Wagner. We hope you can come back again. Roll call.

CLERK: I have a quorum present, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any corrections to the Journal?

CLERK: No corrections, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Any announcements, reports or messages?

CLERK: Mr. President, your Committee on Enrollment and Review respectfully reports they've carefully examined engrossed LB 37 and find the same correctly engrossed; LB 240A, correctly engrossed; LB 409, LB 422, LB 465, LB 543, LB 678, LB 678A, all of those reported correctly engrossed, all signed by Senator Lindsay as Chair of the E & R Committee. (See pages 612-16 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, Senator Smith has designated LB 1124 as her personal priority bill this session. Senator Haberman has selected LB 953 as one of the Retirement Systems Committee's priority bills. Senator Smith has designated LB 863 as one of the General Affairs Committee priority bills. And Senator Carson Rogers selected LB 1004 as his personal priority bill. That's all that I have, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The Chair has a very special announcement at this point. Today, February 1st, is the birthday of Senator Carson Rogers. Senator Rogers has provided the treats on each of the desks this morning. Happy birthday, Senator Rogers. Mr. Clerk, to Item 5, on General File, 1990 priority bill.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 81 was a bill introduced originally

state dollars into the tech colleges to solve their problem? And I think it's just one of those issues that I think the Legislature is being a little lax on. I know it's still early in the session but I think it's something we should look very closely at. I encourage the body to do that. For that reason, once again, I will be voting no.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Moore. There are no other lights on. Senator Weihing would you care to close on the issue.

SENATOR WEIHING: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members of the Legislature, we have heard the various arguments with regards to LB 1050. We are being faced with a lawsuit, that we do need to get the equalization so that we can move forward and once the implementation of LB 143 is there, then the equalization, true equalization, is going to be carried out within the community colleges. The community colleges have worked hard to bring about an equalization formula within their own structure and this is all a part of it. This is a companion bill with LB 143 that was put...that was on the floor here last week by Senator Dennis Baack. I urge that you vote yes for this and move it forward expediently.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: You have heard the closing on LB 1050. The issue before you now is, shall LB 1050 advanced? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Please vote if you care to. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on the advancement of 1050.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: LB 1050 is advanced. Anything for the record, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Not at this time, Mr. President.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Moving on to LB 1004.

CLERK: Mr. President, 1004 was a bill introduced by Senator Rogers, Wehrbein, Dierks and Coordsen. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 4 of this year, Mr. President. At that time, it was referred to the Agriculture Committee. The bill was advanced to General File. There are committee amendments pending.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Senator Elmer, are you going to present the committee amendments?

SENATOR ELMER: Yes, Mr. President, as Vice-chair of the Agriculture Committee, we were privileged to hear Senator Rogers' bill on pseudorabies on January 23rd. It was advanced. There were no dissenting votes. And the committee amendments were attached that the Department of Agriculture had brought to us mainly as technical amendments to assist them in administering the pseudorabies eradication program. The committee amendments add a definition to make it more clear what a herd is. It adds an eradication...it adds eradication to the department's pseudorabies provisions, changes some usages, updates some references and adds a violation and a penalty. The most substantial part of the committee amendments is the addition of the violation and penalty. The department has very similar types of violation and penalties in other statutes similar to this and felt that to make it very clear that they had the same type of authority in administering this program they needed it stated explicitly within the sections that deal with the pseudorabies. It updates some references, replaces some section numbers with an act name, adds qualifying references and rennumbers the sections. Very basically a technical amendment required by the Department of Agriculture and I would urge the body to adopt the committee amendments.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: There are no other lights on. Senator Elmer, would you waive closing? Senator Elmer waives closing. The issue before you is the adoption of the committee amendments. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the committee amendments.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: The amendments are adopted. Introduction of the bill, Senator Rogers, please.

SENATOR ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, LB 1004 is a pseudorabies eradication bill. Eight or 10 years ago I could have not come to this body and probably even presented a bill like this, but after many years of work we have come up with new methods, new vaccines that we truly believe, and the majority of the Nebraska pork producers believe the same thing, that we can

eradicate this very disastrous disease. We have bills every year that are called economic development bills. This is truly one of them. Every year there are some tremendous losses in the pork industry. When they have these large losses that means less income and less sales tax coming into the state coffers. Someone said, you know, why should the state help do this? It's very hard for an individual to always feel safe from this disease. If we had an eradication program and we eradicate the disease, eliminate it, producers won't have to be so concerned about their herds becoming affected. LB 574, a bill we passed last year, directed the Department of Agriculture and the Bureau of Animal Industry to develop a pseudorabies eradication program. If someone would like to see this plan, it's quite a little booklet. I can get you copies of it. It outlines what the plan is, how it will be used to do this particular job. Over the years, the cost may be considerable but it could be overshadowed by the net losses to the state coffers. I have another pamphlet that they developed. The University of Nebraska had done this for us. If someone would like to see that, it's another 10, 15 pages. It's an economic analysis of the pseudorabies program and it gives the difference between eradication, control or no program whatsoever. As I mentioned before, if we can eradicate it, I think it would be a very, very strong economic bill. On your desk is a handout, the summary of 1004. If you would like to follow it through your bill book, I will just run over it real hurriedly. Sections 1 through 5 are technical changes; 6 through 16 are definitions; 17 through 20 are also technical selections which also change the name of the act so that instead of calling it control we call it eradication. Section 20 states that the Department of Agriculture and the Bureau of Animal Industry administer this program in accordance with USDA and APHIS and Veterinary Science. These are federal entities. Section 22 establishes a pseudorabies advisory committee. Section 23 is really basically the start of the program. This would have every herd for the next two years, one test per calendar year would test and the producers would pay for this test. Twenty-four is the results of assessments that are required in Section 23. Twenty-five is all quarantine herds would be required to have a herd cleanup plan. This would be a plan administered by the Department of Agriculture and the Bureau of Animal Industry and would give the department the ability to prioritize how and where state funds will be spent. And it also requires them to cooperate with USDA and APHIS and Veterinary Services on spending of state funds. Section 27 allows the department to enter into agreement with a

credit to veterinarians to pay for certain types of testing with state funds if the funds are available. Section 28 gets into the technicality of the size of the area that will be established by the department once they find some infected herds after two years, how they go about cleaning this up, and it allows the department to decide on the method of testing to be used in these cleanup herds. Thirty and 32 are self-explanatory. Thirty-three, markets and buying stations may apply for special classification. This is in regard to exposure to untested pseudorabies quarantine animals. The department will establish the rules and regulations for the movement of swine into and out of the states. And I might mention, this is another good reason for this bill. Nebraska pork producers could find themselves some day more or less an island because all states are in some phase of eradication, that is all of the heavily populated swine states. But this is something that will have to be done sooner or later so that we can sell animals, we can bring animals into our state. Section 36 allows the department to approve feeding facilities to feed quarantine feeder pigs. You have a producer that becomes quarantined, does not want to finish them hogs out, the state will designate certain feedlots where these animals can be finished out. In other words, it gives the producer someplace to sell these animals. Thirty-seven is the different types of testing so that we can monitor and have a surveillance in the state. And the rest of it is basically technical sections which change the name of the act so it makes changes how the fees are credited to the Control Cash Fund and provide for the expenditures of state funds when available. This is the gist of the bill and I would urge for its adoption and I will answer any questions if I can. I'm sure that Senator Dierks can answer some of the technical questions.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Rogers. Senator Dierks, please, followed by Senator Wehrbein and Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR DIERKS: Mr. President and members of the body, only very briefly. Of course, I support the legislation and I did...I'm one of the signers of the bill. Pseudorabies can be eliminated in Nebraska. I think that there was a feeling for a number of years that that would not be possible. We have come a great long ways in technology over the last several years. One of the most revealing things has been the development of a vaccine that we can mark before it's given to the pig and then

when those pigs are tested, blood tested later on, we can determine whether they have...if they have an increased titer to this disease, we can determine if it's due to an actual infection or if it's due to the vaccine that they have been given. This is not possible when your further...your first pseudorabies legislation was brought here. We do know that we can eradicate this disease from Nebraska and we need to have this legislation in place to do that. There is, of course, some money attached to this and, as far as I'm concerned, this is one of the most single important things we're faced with in Nebraska today as far as disease is concerned among our livestock. There is my feeling, of course, that this is a great agricultural state and this is one of the great agricultural commodities that we produce here. I think it's absolutely essential that we proceed along the way toward eradication of this disease. I just would also be available for any questions that anybody would have. I think that it's necessary that we get this legislation in force and this is the right piece of legislation to do it with. Thank you.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator. Senator Wehrbein, please.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President, and members, I also support this legislation. I will speak relatively briefly on it unless there are questions too. But suffice it to say, there are several scenarios that have been developed in the progress of this...in the process of developing this program and this was felt to be most economically viable. And realistically we have to acknowledge that there are some costs in this but the hog industry is a big industry in Nebraska. It affects our feeder pig industry also because we do ship quite a few feeder pigs. But probably more importantly it is very hard on our present breeding stock producers by not having a program in Nebraska. We ship breeding stock to every state in the union, to my knowledge, as well as many, many, many countries worldwide. And pseudorabies is a disease that does impact heavily on our breeding stock industry, probably the most heavily. It is not...does not have quite the impact on the finishing phase of hog production but it does on the breeding herd, not only for the farrow to finisher but also more importantly on the breeding stock producer and even on to quite a degree on the feeder pig producer. So we have a lot of details on the costs of this program, the analysis of it, but I would simply state that because of the importance of the hog industry I think it's

important to Nebraskans that the Department of Agriculture or the State Department of Agriculture be involved in this and that we need to proceed with an organized eradication program and that this time has come for us to do this.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Bernard-Stevens, please.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. President. Just very briefly, I want to thank Senators Rogers and Wehrbein, Dierks and Coordsen and other people who I am sure have worked on the bill within the body and the Department of Agriculture for bringing this bill before us. I understand it may not be the most headline-grabbing bill but it is one of those bills that though will not receive a lot of attention is very, very important to various parts of our industries, particularly in the hog industry of the State of Nebraska. And I would, with that, urge that the body support LB 1004.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Schellpeper, please.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members, I would like to ask Senator Rogers a question, if I might.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Senator Rogers, would you respond, please.

SENATOR ROGERS: Yes.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Senator, in Section 36 where you would approve the feeding facilities, if my neighbor would have a confinement shed and if he wanted to feed these pseudorabies feeder pigs, could I stop him from coming in there if he was within a certain distance, or what is it?

SENATOR ROGERS: The Bureau of Animal Industry will be the ones that will determine if that particular facility can be used as a quarantine feedlot. There are some guidelines, and don't quote me on the exact distance, but I'm going to say it's something like, first of all, there would be no swine within two miles of the facilities. There has been numerous facilities, numerous people have asked to have a quarantine feedlot and the Bureau of Animal Industry has turned it down, Senator Schellpeper. In other words, they want to be real careful if they set up one of these places that it will not affect neighbors.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you. It seems like we're getting a large number of these hog confinement buildings that they are willing to take in feeder pigs and there are some large corporations that are also feeding up in our area and they are...well, I guess they have pseudorabies and so they're willing to take them in. So I was just wondering whether I could really stop them or not in my area.

SENATOR ROGERS: I guess maybe you, individually, could not stop them but it's for sure that if the bill passes, that's one of the reasons for the A bill is to hire some more veterinarians and field inspectors. But they could not...and I don't think, Senator Schellpeper, they can do this legally now but I will have to check on that.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Okay, thank you. Thank you.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Coordsen, please.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members of the body, only a couple of comments in that this is a bill that affects one part of our economy, the hog producers. It's a very economically devastating disease that has been growing in the United States for better than 20 years. Control efforts have been tried in other areas. As a matter of fact, we passed a control effort in this state last year but the only real answer to the solution or to the problem would be an eradication effort similar to what we used with brucellosis a number of years ago. So I would encourage your support of this measure and, from personal experience, I can tell you that to have an infection of pseudorabies in your swine operation is not one of the better things that you can have happen to you. Thank you.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Hefner, please.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, I rise to support this bill. I'm a member of the Ag Committee and heard the testimony and I thought it was very informative and enlightening. I wasn't there to vote the bill out because I had a bill to present, but I did support it. This bill eradicates pseudorabies. A few years back we passed a bill that said that we would try to control pseudorabies but we really...I don't

think we really got the job done. And in talking to some of the pork producers, they didn't think we did either. And so I think this is the next step. One thing we need to remember that the swine industry adds a lot to the economy in Nebraska and so if we can help the swine industry, if we can help this industry, I think we should be doing it. And it looks to me like this will certainly help control and eradicate pseudorabies in our swine herds. So I would urge you to support this bill.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Hefner. Senator Rogers, there are no other lights on, would you care to close?

SENATOR ROGERS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, I might just mention a few things that someone asked me about if you have a herd and you're tested and you're positive, what can you do with these animals? If they are at market weight, you can go ahead and market these animals. It has no affect whatsoever on the meat. I assure you, you don't want to have it in your herd because it will take a long time to get them to market. But anyway it has no effect on the meat. Someone asked me, where do we rank? I think we rank fourth or maybe fifth. I think we rank fourth in the nation in swine production as of now. At the present time, some of the things that we did do in the control bill, I might mention, someone asked me...they had heard that we test our herd once a year, to sell feeder pigs in Nebraska, as of right now, you have to test your herd. It's basically no big deal. If you have five or six hundred sows, statistically you only have to test, I think it's 32 or 33 of those animals once a year. So for the first couple of years, as far as the producers paying for this testing, it is no great hardship one way or the other. And I guess I will just ask for the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Rogers. You have heard the closing. The issue before you now is the advancement of LB 1004. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 1004.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: LB 1004 is advanced. To LB 863, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, 863 was a bill introduced by Senator Smith. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 3 of

February 5, 1990

LB 164, 520A, 708, 1004, 1032

the house is under call. Senators Schellpeper and Elmer, the house is under call. Senators Schellpeper and Elmer, please report to the Chamber. Senator Chambers. A machine vote has been requested. The question is the advancement of LB 708 to E & R initial. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Roll call has been requested. Mr. Clerk, proceed.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See page 664 of the Legislative Journal.) 18 ayes, 9 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to advance.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Motion fails. The call is raised. Anything for the record, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Yes, Mr. President, I do. Mr. President, Natural Resources gives notice of cancellation and resetting of a hearing, signed by Senator Schmit as Chair. LB 1032 has been selected as one of the Speaker's priority bills.


Senator Rogers has amendments to LB 1004; and Senator Schellpeper to LB 520A. (See page 665 of the Legislative Journal.) Mr. President, Senator Abboud would like to add his name to LB 164 as co-introducer. That is all that I have, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Schimek, please, would you care to adjourn us.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I move we adjourn until tomorrow morning at nine o'clock.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. You have heard the motion to adjourn until nine o'clock tomorrow. All in favor say aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it, carried. We are adjourned.

Proofed by:


Sandy Ryan

February 7, 1990

LB 313, 663A, 863, 901, 986, 991, 1004
1032, 1050, 1117, 1178

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the George W. Norris Legislative Chamber. Our Chaplain of the day is Pastor William Yeager of Westminster Presbyterian Church here in Lincoln. Will you please rise for the prayer.

PASTOR YEAGER: (Prayer offered.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you very much, Reverend Yeager. We hope you can come back again. Roll call.

CLERK: I have a quorum present present, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any corrections to the Journal?

CLERK: No corrections, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Any messages, reports or announcements?

CLERK: Mr. President, Enrollment and Review reports LB 1050, LB 1004 and LB 863 to Select File, those signed by Senator Lindsay as Chair. (See pages 686-87 of the Legislative Journal.)

Government Committee reports LB 1032 to General File with amendments. That's signed by Senator Baack. Business and Labor reports LB 901 to General File, LB 1178 General File, LB 313 General File with amendments, LB 986 indefinitely postponed, LB 991 indefinitely postponed, LB 1117 indefinitely postponed, those signed by Senator Coordsen. (See pages 688-90 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, notice of hearing from the Revenue Committee. That is signed by Senator Hall and new A bill, Mr. President, LB 663A. It's a bill by Senator Scofield. (Read brief description. See page 690 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, I have received a request from the Nebraska State College System regarding approval required by the Legislature for a bond issue with respect to student housing at Kearney State College. That will be referred to Reference Committee.

Mr. President, finally, a report from the Department of Social Services filed pursuant to statute. That will be on file in my

the west at Scottsbluff and North Platte. This...addressing this and passing this bill would do much to put a barrier with regards to the class action suit. You must realize that if that suit is won, and it likely will be, that it is possible for those community colleges which we're taxing above the nine percent...nine cent level, would perhaps have to refund to the citizens of those areas which would be a very costly...that could go up into, actually into the millions, and they would be back to the Legislature. So it is...this is a bill to bring about the equalization so that the class...to nullify the class suit action. And I ask that the body move the bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Scofield, did you wish to speak?

SENATOR SCOFIELD: Thank you, Mr. President. Only very briefly to...Senator Weihing has adequately, more than adequately covered the problem facing the community colleges here. This is an essential piece of legislation brought about by that lawsuit that Senator Weihing alluded to. It seems to me that to oppose this right now simply ensures that we create a crisis and probably would be more costly to the taxpayer down the road. It is appropriate. I think that Senator Moore has essentially waved a warning flag, saying we probably ought to look at the entire financing of our community college system. It is far from a strong...far from being as strong as I would like it or I think anybody would like it. And that is certainly something that we should all have on the top of our agenda out there. We're probably not going to get to it this year but we're going to have some major discussions about higher education and I would urge that we not forget about the technical community college system in those discussions. It's critical that we keep in mind where we are on this whole financing picture. But I would urge you to join Senator Weihing in advancing this bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Weihing, did you wish to close?

SENATOR WEIHING: I just ask that we go ahead and vote on the issue.

PRESIDENT: The question is the advancement of the bill. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. LB 1004, please.

CLERK: Mr. President, 1004, I have E & R amendments, first of

all.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rogers, would you make the motion to accept the E & R amendments, please.

SENATOR ROGERS: I move that we adopt the E & R amendments.

PRESIDENT: Okay, you have heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. They are adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Rogers, you had an amendment printed earlier. Senator, I have a note that you want to withdraw that first amendment. Is that...

PRESIDENT: Senator Rogers, please.

SENATOR ROGERS: And then do you have the 2657?

CLERK: I have 2657. (The Rogers amendment appears on page 975 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR ROGERS: Right.

CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR ROGERS: Okay.

PRESIDENT: We'll withdraw the first one and you may talk about the second one, please.

SENATOR ROGERS: Okay. This was just something to clarify...I think you all have a copy on your desk, if you see it, but this was...concerned the veterinarians and this amendment simply states that all testing done pursuant to the program shall be performed by an accredited veterinarian except that this shall not restrict an employee of the state or federal government in performance of his duties. The new amendment, it added the words "performed by or under the direct supervision of an accredited veterinarian."

PRESIDENT: There is no further lights on. The question is the adoption of the amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Please vote if you care to. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator

Rogers' amendment.

PRESIDENT: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rogers, on the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR ROGERS: Mr. President and members, if there is no question, I just move for the advancement of the bill.

PRESIDENT: Okay, the question is the advancement of the bill. All those in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced.
LB 1004A.

CLERK: LB 1004A, Senator, I no amendments to the bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rogers, on the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR ROGERS: Move for advancement of the A bill.

PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. Move on to LB 863.

CLERK: LB 863, Mr. President, I have no amendments to the bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator...Senator Lindsay, please.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move that LB 863 be advanced to E & R for Engrossment.

PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion. All in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. LB 348. The Speaker suggested that we take up the Select File bills that do not have amendments for advance but, however, this 348 does have amendments on it.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next bill I have without substantive amendments, LB 1199. I have E & R amendments pending.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lindsay, on 1199.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move the adoption of the E & R amendments to 1199.

February 28, 1990 LB 42, 315, 348, 446, 542, 662, 663A
791, 792, 863, 896A, 922, 1004, 1004A
1199
LR 262

driver, if that's who was involved, would no longer be able to drive the truck as well. If it were a secretary or somebody in that capacity, the duties of that job would not be carried out as well. So all my words will do is focus on what the words "affect the employment relationship" will mean. So if you have any questions, I am prepared to answer them.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. An amendment...or motion on the desk, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator McFarland would move to recess until 1:30 p.m.

SPEAKER BARRETT: You have heard the motion to recess until one-thirty. Have you matters for the record, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: If I may, Mr. President. Your Committee on Enrollment and Review reports LB 42, LB 663A, LB 863, LB 896A, LB 922, LB 1004, LB 1004A, LB 1199, as correctly engrossed. Those are signed by Senator Lindsay. (See pages 1045-46 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, I have a corrected committee statement with respect to LB 446 offered by Senator Chizek as Chair of the committee. (See page 1045 of the Legislative Journal.)

Urban Affairs reports LB 791 and LB 792 as indefinitely postponed.

Mr. President, amendments to be printed; Senator Langford and Wesely to LB 348, Senator Labedz to LB 662, Senator Lindsay to LB 542. (See pages 1046-47 of the Legislative Journal.)

And a new resolution, Mr. President, LR 262, offered by Senators Lamb, Scofield, Dierks and Peterson. (Read brief description of LR 262. See pages 1047-50 of the Legislative Journal.) That resolution will be laid over, Mr. President. That's all that I have.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. You have heard the motion to recess until one-thirty. All in favor say aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it. Motion carried. We are recessed. (Gavel.)

RECESS

April 3, 1990

LB 880, 880A, 1004

Germany and their sponsor. Will you folks please stand and let us welcome you. Thank you. We appreciate your visiting us and we're honored by your presence here. Thank you again. Mr. Clerk, LB 880.

CLERK: (Read LB 880 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 880 pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See page 1827 of the Legislative Journal.) 37 ayes, 7 nays, 2 present and not voting, 3 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 880 passes. LB 880A.

CLERK: (Read LB 880A on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 880A pass? All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See page 1828 of the Legislative Journal.) 37 ayes, 3 nays, 6 present and not voting, 3 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 880A passes. May I introduce some guests, please, of Senator Schimek. Under the south balcony, we have Andrew Zimmer and Mike West of Lincoln, and Jim Hergert of Omaha. Would you folks please stand and be recognized. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, LB 1004.

CLERK: (Read LB 1004 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 1004 pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See page 1829 of the Legislative Journal.) 30 ayes, 11 nays, 5 present and not voting, 3 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

April 3, 1990

LB 1004, 1004A, 1080, 1080A, 1184

PRESIDENT: LB 1004 passes. LB 1004A.

CLERK: (Read LB 1004A on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 1004A pass? All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See page 1830 of the Legislative Journal.) 33 ayes, 7 nays, 6 present and not voting, 3 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 1004A passes. LB 1080.

CLERK: (Read LB 1080 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 1080 pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See page 1831 of the Legislative Journal.) 43 ayes, 0 nays, 3 present and not voting, 3 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 1080 passes. LB 1080A.

CLERK: (Read LB 1080A on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 1080A pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See pages 1831-32 of the Legislative Journal.) 43 ayes, 0 nays, 4 present and not voting, 2 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 1080A passes. LB 1184 with the emergency clause attached.

CLERK: (Read LB 1184 on Final Reading.)

April 3, 1990

LB 42, 42A, 642, 656, 799, 866, 880
880A, 953A, 1004, 1004A, 1019, 1019A, 1059
1059A, 1064, 1064A, 1080, 1080A, 1113, 1113A
1136, 1146, 1184, 1184A, 1222A
LR 418

CLERK: (Read LB 1222A on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is shall LB 1222A pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Read record vote as found on page 1847 of Legislative Journal.) 44 ayes, 0 nays, 2 present and not voting, 3 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 1222A passes. Do you have something for the record, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, I do, a new resolution by the Judiciary Committee, (LR 418.) a study resolution. Enrollment and Review reports LB 1064 and LB 1064A as correctly engrossed, both signed by Senator Lindsay as Chair; and LB 1059 and LB 1059A is correctly enrolled. Enrollment and Review reports LB 1113 and LB 1113A to Select File, signed by Senator Lindsay. Amendments to be printed by Senator Hartnett to LB 953A, Senator Hall to LB 866. And, Mr. President, a confirmation report from Transportation Committee signed by Senator Lamb as Chair. That's all that I have, Mr. President. (See pages 1847-52 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: While the Legislature is in session, capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do sign LB 880, LB 880A, LB 1004, LB 1004A, LB 1080, LB 1080A, LB 1184, LB 1184A, LB 656, LB 1146, LB 42, LB 42A, LB 799, LB 1019, LB 1019A, LB 1059A, LB 1059, LB 1136, LB 1122, correction, LB 1222, and LB 1222A. We're ready to go. Mr. Clerk, do you have something on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, motion pending from this morning was one offered by Senator Chambers and that motion was to overrule or change the Speaker's agenda to permit consideration of a suspension motion relating to LB 642.

PRESIDENT: (Gavel). Could we have your attention so we can hear the speaker? Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, this is a continuation from what I was attempting

April 9, 1990

LB 42, 42A, 571A, 834, 843, 843A, 855
855A, 880, 880A, 896A, 920, 1004, 1004A
1019, 1019A, 1043, 1059, 1059A, 1030A, 1090
1109, 1222, 1222A, 1241

Mr. President, I have received veto messages on the following bills: LB 1059, LB 1059A, LB 42, LB 42A, LB 880, LB 880A, LB 1004 and LB 1004A, LB 1019 and LB 1019A, LB 1080A, LB 1222 and LB 1222A, LB 571A, LB 834, LB 843 and LB 843A, LB 855 and LB 855A, LB 896A, LB 1043, LB 1090 has a line-item reduction, LB 920 has a line-item reduction, LB 1241 has a line-item reduction. (See Messages from the Governor as found on pages 1985-98 of the Legislative Journal.) All those, Mr. President, as I indicated, are available to the members on their desks. Have an Attorney General's Opinion addressed to Senator Schmit regarding LB 1059 and I believe that's all that I have, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. We have a motion from Speaker Barrett. Speaker Barrett.

SENATOR BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I offer the motion to suspend Rule 6, Section 7, subsection (b), and Rule 5, Section 6, to permit these bills to be read on Final Reading this morning. The first part, of course is to waive the two-day limitation, and the second is to allow the A bills to be read. I would urge the body to adopt the motion. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Any discussion? If not, the question is the adoption of the suspension of the rules motion. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 32 ayes, no nays, Mr. President, on the suspension of the rules to permit reading of the bills this morning.

PRESIDENT: The rules are suspended and we'll begin Final Reading. If you will find your ways to your own desk, why, we would start Final Reading. (Gavel.) Please return to your desks so we can begin Final Reading. Senator Haberman, would you come home, please? Mr. Clerk, LB 1109, please.

CLERK: Mr. President, I had amendments from Senator McFarland.

PRESIDENT: Is anyone prepared to handle Senator McFarland's motion on this bill? Senator McFarland, you had a motion on this first bill.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: Mr. President, could you read the motion for me?

those choices wisely as they make their choices. So with that, I would urge you to override the veto on 843A.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The question is, shall LB 843 (sic) become law notwithstanding the Governor's veto? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. LB 843A, excuse me. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See pages 2046-47 of the Legislative Journal.) 31 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to override LB 843A.

PRESIDENT: LB 843A becomes law notwithstanding the Governor's veto. LB 1004, please.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Carson Rogers would move that LB 1004 become law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rogers.

SENATOR ROGERS: Mr. President, members, I hope you all glanced at the two or three sheets we just passed out a little bit ago. This is a bill that we talked about not that many bills that have favored agriculture, this is the bill that would really favor the pork producers. I will give just a few figures and then I will let someone else carry on. The cost to the state the first year is \$776,000. The total cost would be \$2,600,000. In other words, what I am saying, the industry percent of the cost the first year, industry will pay 70 percent of it. The second year is 900,000. The total cost is \$2,790,000. The producers would bear 67 percent of that cost, and this doesn't include the millions of dollars that producers would lose directly. I am sorry some of you received some misinformation from a producer in central Nebraska. I have tried to answer your questions. There was things that was in that letter that were strictly not true. I know some of you still are leaning, you think what he said is the truth, but I can answer any of those questions and tell you what is actually the truth. I stated before and I will state again that I will come back, lord willing, next year and work with the pork producers, which I tried to do this year, and come back next year and the producers would help fund this in future years. And I just ask for the override of the Governor's veto.

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion of the motion offered by Senator Rogers. Senator Dierks.

SENATOR DIERKS: Mr. Speaker, and members of the Legislature, I just want to enforce what Senator Rogers has told us. The legislation, as you know, was brought actually by the pork producers. The pork producers association are the ones that had the input and most of the legwork and footwork on the bill. The Nebraska Veterinary Medical Association was there to help as was the livestock industry. So this is a piece of legislation that will help agriculture a great deal in this state. It is necessary for the eradication of a disease that is very costly to this state, and the total bill is really not all that great, but I think that it would be a very good indication of your willingness to support the agricultural effort in this great agricultural state, and I certainly wish that you would support this override. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any other discussion? Senator Rogers, would you like to close?

SENATOR ROGERS: Could I ask for a call of the house while I am closing.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The house is technically under call. Would members return to your seats and check in, please.

SENATOR ROGERS: I would like to have you notice one thing, if any of you have the Governor's veto message. She mentioned there this would be in addition to \$710,000 in General Fund which presently goes towards pseudorabies control and testing. We have tried all day. We cannot find that much goes into the testing now. The only answer to that could be the brucellosis program in this state is winding down and they had told us a year ago that some of that money that was originally for brucellosis could be transferred to the pseudorabies program. The Governor also mentioned that she thought pork producers should help fund that in her veto message. I agree with her 100 percent, but I would like to get the program started this year. We have been trying to do something like this for probably three years, and I would like so we could get started this year, and like I said, I will come back next year and I will do everything I can to get the producers help fund part of

April 9, 1990

LB 1004A, 1004

the bill in future years. I ask for a yes vote on the override.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Members, continue to check in, please. I believe that Senator Rogers has asked that we check in. Senators Warner, Wesely, Hannibal, Lynch, Smith, Coordsen, Lindsay, Landis, Baack, Conway, Ashford. Senator Smith, please. Senator Beck, please. Senator Rogers, we will proceed with a machine vote then, unless you ask for a roll call.

SENATOR ROGERS: Is Senator Chambers in the building or is he excused?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers is not available.

SENATOR ROGERS: Okay, a roll call vote.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Mr. Clerk, proceed with a roll call vote on the override of LB 1004.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 2047-48 of the Legislative Journal.) Mr. President, I am going to verify, if I may.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Certainly.

CLERK: (Read vote for verification.) 34 ayes, 12 nays, Mr. President, on the override.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Motion prevails and LB 1004 becomes law notwithstanding the Governor's objection. The A bill.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Rogers would move that LB 1004A become law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Rogers.

SENATOR ROGERS: Mr. President, members, I would just ask for a vote to override the veto of the A bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the veto of LB 1004A be overridden. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See page 2048 of the Legislative

April 9, 1990

LB 42, 42A, 163, 163A, 164, 164A, 503
503A, 536, 834, 843, 843A, 1004, 1004A
1031, 1043, 1059, 1059A, 1126, 1170, 1222
1222A

employee is 21, State Patrol is 21, other school employees in Omaha, no age limit and the judges are no age limit. So, therefore, I would ask that you override the veto of 834. It doesn't cost any more money. It doesn't cost any money. It will keep young people in Nebraska. It will want them to help work for the state and do a good job for the state and I ask for your override. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion? Senator Elmer, followed by Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To be very brief, and Senator Haberman said it and said it well, all private businesses are required to allow their employees to participate when they're 19. I think the state should go at least as low as 20 to give consideration, allow these young people to accumulate a little more for retirement. It's not going to cost the state any money. I would urge your override. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, I also rise to support this override. We have some very dedicated employees in this state and I think this is just another thing we can do for the employees. So I think Senator Haberman said it all and I would just move for the override.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Anything further, Senator Haberman? If not, the question is, shall LB 834 be overridden? All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See pages 2054-55 of the Legislative Journal.) 31 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the override of LB 834.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 834 is overridden. And let the record show that the Chair is certifying that the Legislature has overridden the following vetoes, notwithstanding the objections of the Governor, LB 834, LB 1043, LB 1222 and LB 1222A, LB 1170, LB 1004 and LB 1004A, LB 843 and LB 843A, LB 1059 and LB 1059A, LB 1126, LB 11...excuse me, LB 536, LB 42 and LB 42A, LB 164 and LB 164A, LB 1031, LB 503, and LB 503A, LB 163 and LB 163A, and LB 834. Anything for the record at all, Mr. Clerk?